

PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q66589

Kunimasa SHIMIZU, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/092,253

Group Art Unit: 3626

Confirmation No.: 9282

Examiner: Russell S. Glass

Filed: March 7, 2002

For: METHOD OF AND SYSTEM FOR READING MEDICAL IMAGE

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on
January 15, 2008:

REMARKS

During the interview, the following was discussed:

The rejection of claims 1-12, 17-19, 33, 53 and 54 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of
Wong et al. (US 6,260,021) and Marchosky (2002/0029157). In particular, the undersigned
questioned the Examiner regarding the potential allowability of the rejected claims in view of the
applied prior art. No agreement was reached.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF
INTERVIEW complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.


It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems
otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW
U.S. Appln. No.: 10/092,253

Atty. Docket No.: Q66589

maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,



David P. Emery
Registration No. 55,154

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC
Telephone: (202) 293-7060
Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: February 21, 2008